

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,591	06/24/2003	Yutaka Murakami	20402-00579-US1 1468		
30678 CONNOLLY I	7590 09/19/200' BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER			
1875 EYE STR		~ <b>~ ~</b>	JOSEPH, JAISON		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/601,591	MURAKAMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jaison Joseph	2611	

	Jaison Joseph	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	'ess			
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a btice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	ejected ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>35,36,42,45-48,51,52 and 55-59</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Secretary and the secretar	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul><li>See attached.</li><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	(					
			,			

Art Unit: 2611.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 8 of remarks section, filed 08/31/2007, with respect to claims 35 – 59 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 35 – 59 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 08/31/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 35, Applicant argues, "in the present invention, a pilot symbol is inserted in a first stream of information symbols on a signal constellation so as to be places on either an inphase axis or a quadrature phase axis in the signal constellation". However Examiner respectfully disagrees. As explained in the previous office action, in figure 6, Jasper et al clearly teach having the 'pilot symbol is disposed on either an inphase axis or a quadrature-phase axis in a signal constellation" (see figure 6, element 84. and column 6, line 67 — column 7, lines 5). Therefore Jasper et al teach all cited limitations. Thus Examiner maintains the rejection of claim 35, 45, 58 and 59. Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that the examiner is entitled to give broadest reasonable interpretation to the language of the claims.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,591

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Joseph 09/11/2007